The Structure of the Spatial slices of 3-dimensional Causal Triangulations

Thordur Jonsson, University of Iceland

8 November 2018

2018 Nagoya international workshop on the physics and mathematics of discrete geometries

Outline

- The problem of counting triangulations
- Causal triangulations
- Description of the main result
- The midsection and its properties
- Bijection between causal slices and a class of 2-dimensional coloured cell complexes
- Possible extensions and interesting questions

Counting triangulations

 In two dimensions the problem was solved by Tutte (1962) and Bender and Canfield (1986)

$$N_{g,b}(n) \sim n^{5(g-1)/2+b-1}c^n$$

where $N_{g,b}(n)$ is the number of triangulations of a genus g surface with b boundary components made up of n triangles.

No restriction on topology

$$N(n)=\sum_{g=0}^\infty N_{g,1}(n)\sim (3n/2)!$$

 Important for the analysis of partition functions for discrete quantum gravity in 2 dimensions.

3 dimensions

Discrete models of 3-dimensional quantum gravity (Ambjørn, Durhuus, TJ 1991): Need bounds on the number of different triangulations of S³ that can be constructed with a given number of tetrahedra.

In order for

$$Z = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} e^{-S_{EH}}$$

to converge for some κ where

$$S_{EH}(T) = \kappa |T| + \lambda \ell(T)$$

(|T| = number of tetrahedra in T, $\ell(T) =$ number of edges) we need

$$\#\{T\in\mathcal{T}:|T|=n\}\leq C^n$$
 (*)

for some constant C.

Not known whether the inequality (*) holds.

Causal Triangulations

- Causal triangulations are simpler triangulations that are made up of a sequence of spatial slices (global hyperbolic structure) (Ambjørn, Jurkiewicz, Loll 2001)
- The inequality (*) holds for causal triangulations in 3 dimensions (Durhuus and TJ 2015)
- Main result: There is a bijection between the spatial slices of 3-dimensional causal triangulations and a class of coloured 2-dimensional cell complexes that satisfy a number of conditions (work with B. Durhuus).





http://www.thephysicsmill.com/2013/10/13/causal-dynamical-triangulations/

3- dimensional Triangulations

Building blocks: Tetrahedra with vertices coloured red or blue



- Not all of the same colour
- 3 types: (3,1), (2,2), (1,3)
- Can have monocoloured or two-coloured edges and triangles

Definition A *triangulation* K is a collection of tetrahedra some of whose sides (triangles) are pairwise identified, respecting the colouring

- ► The boundary of K, ∂K, is the set of all non identified triangles
- Regularity:

(i) No two triangles in the same tetrahedron can be identified (ii) Two different triangles in a tetrahedron t cannot be identified with two triangles an a different tetrahedron t'

Can view a triangulation:

(a) as a topological space

(b) a combinatorial object (abstract simplicial complex)

(c) a subset of \mathbb{R}^n , *n* large enough, where each tetrahedron (triangle, edge) is the convex hull of its vertices (assumed to be affinely independent)

Definition A *causal disc-slice* is a triangulation K with the following properties

- (i) K is homeomorphic to the 3-ball
- (ii) All monocoloured simplices of K belong to the boundary ∂K such that the red ones form a disc D_r and the blue ones form a disc D_b
 - $\partial K = D_r \cup D_b \cup C$ and C is a 2-dimensional causal slice



- There are no interior vertices
- ► There is a similar notion of causal sphere-slice which are homeomorphic to S² × [0, 1] and have two disjoint boundary components, one red and one blue

Definition A causal disc-triangulation is a triangulation of the form

$$M = igcup_{i=1}^N K_i$$

where K_i is a causal disc-slice with boundary discs D_i^i and D_b^i such that K_i and K_j are disjoint for $i \neq j$ except $D_b^i = D_r^{i+1}$, i = 1, ..., N - 1, as uncoloured 2-dimensional triangulations.

$$\blacktriangleright \ \partial M = D_r^1 \cup D_b^N \cup C$$



▶ Given two triangulated discs D₁ and D₂ there exists a causal disc slice K such that D_r = D₁ and D₂ = D_r.

The Midsection

We can view any causal disc-slice K as imbedded in ℝⁿ (n ≥ 7) such that each tetrahedron t is a convex linear combination of its vertices, i.e. x ∈ t = (v₁v₂v₃v₄), v_j ∈ ℝⁿ, can be expressed as

$$x=\sum_{i=1}^4s_iv_i,\quad s_i\geq 0,\quad \sum_{i=1}^4s_i=1$$

• Define a real valued function h on K

$$h(x) = \sum_{i:v_i \, \mathrm{red}} s_i$$
 (well defined)

The midsection of K is defined to be

$$S_K = \{x \in K : h(x) = 1/2\}$$

The midsection S_K is made up of triangles with red edges or blue edges and two-coloured quadrangles with opposite edges of the same colour





- ► If we contract the red edges in S_K we obtain D_b and contracting the blue edges yields D_r
- Edges, triangles, tetrahedra in K correspond to verticies, edges, 2-cells in S_K . We let e_a denote the edge in K which corresponds to the vertex a in S_K .
- ► S_K is a 2-dimensional cell complex (cells are triangles and quadrangles) with coloured edges and the topology of a disc
- Isomorphic causal disc-slices give rise to isomorphic midsections
- For sphere-slices the midsection is a 2-sphere

Properties of The Midsection

- ▶ We denote edges, triangles and quadrangles in the midsection by (a_ia_j), (a_ia_ja_k), (a_ia_ja_ka_ℓ)
- A red path in S_K is a sequence of red edges ⟨a_ia_{i+1}⟩, i = 1,..., k − 1. We say the path connects a₁ to a_k. It is simple if a_i ≠ a_j, i ≠ j and we say it is closed if a₁ = a_k and a_i ≠ a_j, i, j = 1,... k − 1



Property α

Lemma 1 Two different vertices in S_K cannot be connected both by a red and by a blue path (property α)

Proof: If a and b are vertices in S_K connected by a blue path then the red endpoints of e_a and e_b are identical. If a and b are also connected by a red path then both the endpoints of e_a and e_b are the same so $e_a = e_b$.



Not a midsection

Properties β_1 and β_2

Lemma 2 (i) Let ρ be a closed red simple path in S_K . Then the interior of ρ contains only red edges (Property β_1)

(ii) Let μ be a simple red path connecting two vertices belonging to two different blue arcs of the boundary of S_K . Then the endpoints of μ are the endpoints of red boundary arc (Property β_2) Proof of (i)



Proof of (ii)



Property γ

▶ **Definition** Let *e* and *f* be different blue edges in *S_K*. We say they are connected by a blue path of quadrangles if

Lemma 3 Let e = ⟨ab⟩ and f = ⟨a'b'⟩ be different blue edges in S_K. Suppose a and a' as well as b and b' are connected by red paths. Then they are connected by a blue path of triangles. (Property γ)

Idea of proof

Let Δ_e and Δ_f be the two two-coloured triangles in K containing e and f. Then they share a blue edge (xy) in the blue boundary of K and they have red vertices v_e and v_f in ∂K , $v_e \neq v_f$. Looking at the "star" of (xy) in K, which contains a sequence of (2,2) tetrahedra, we find the desired path of quadrangles.



The bijection

▶ Let S denote the set of all 2-dimensional cell complexes S with the topology of a disc

(i) made up of red and blue triangles as well as two-coloured quadrangles with opposite sides of the same colour

(ii) containing at least one triangle of each colour

(iii) satisfying conditions α , β_1 , β_2 and γ .

- ▶ Let C denote the set of all causal disc-slices.
- Define a mapping $\phi : \mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{S}$ by $\phi(K) = S_K$.
- **Theorem** ϕ is a bijection.

Outline of proof

- Different disc-slices have different midsections so ϕ is injective.
- From any S ∈ S we construct a unique simplicial complex K_S. We show that this simplicial complex has the topology of a 3-ball and is in fact a disc-slice. The midsection of K_S is by construction the coloured cell complex S that we started with.
- ► To each a in the vertex set V(S) of S we associate two (abstract) vertices r_a (red) and b_a (blue).
- Identify: $r_a = r_b$ if a and b are joined by a blue path in S and $b_a = b_b$ if a and b are joined by a red path.
- ► The vertex set {r_a, b_a : a ∈ V(S)} (with the identifications described above) is the vertex set K⁰_S of an abstract simplicial complex K_S.

• The set of 3-simplicies K_S^3 is obtained from the 2-cells of Sred triangle $\triangle = \langle abc \rangle \mapsto t_{\triangle} = (r_a r_b r_c b_a)$ blue triangle $\triangle = \langle abc \rangle \mapsto t_{\triangle} = (b_a b_b b_c r_a)$ quadrangle $\Box = \langle abcd \rangle \mapsto t_{\Box} = (r_a r_b b_a b_c)$

 This is well defined by condition α and defines a 3-dimensional simplicial complex K_S whose 3-simplicies (tetrahedra) are labelled by the 2-cells of S



- ► Two tetrahedra t_F and t_{F'} share a triangle if and only if the 2-cells F and F' share an edge
- ► The monocoloured triangles of K_S are labelled by the triangles of S and the two-coloured triangles of K_S are labelled by the edges of S
- The monocoloured edges in K_S lie in the boundary and the two-coloured edges are labelled by the vertices of S
- All monocoloured simplicies are in the boundary ∂K_S
- ► There is a one to one correspondence between the boundary edges of S and the two-coloured triangles in ∂K_S and these triangles form a 2-dimensional causal slice
- **Lemma** K_S has the topology of a 3-ball so ∂K_S is a 2-sphere
- It follows that K_S is a sphere slice with midsection S

Locally constructible simplicial manifolds

A 3d simplicial manifold M has a local construction if there is a sequence of simplicial manifolds M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_k such that

- (i) M_1 is a tetrahedron
- (ii) M_{i+1} is obtained from M_i by either gluing a tetrahedron to M_i along a triangle or by identifying two triangles in ∂M_i which already share an edge

(iii) $M_k = M$

There is an analogous notion of local construction for 2 and higher-dimensional simplicial manifolds

Facts about LC triangulations

- Any 2-dimensional simplicial manifold with the topology of S² or the 2-disc has a LC
- There is a C > 1 such that the number of locally constructible triangulations of S³ of volume V is bounded by C^V (Durhuus and TJ 1995)
- Not all triangulations of S³ are locally constructible (Benedetti and Ziegler 2011)

Outline of the proof of the Lemma

- ▶ Take a local construction of S
- ► Use it to obtain an alternative construction of K_S: K₁, K₂,..., K_n = K_S
- K₁ is a single tetrahedron
- ► K_j is obtained from K_{j-1} by gluing a single tetrahedron along a triangle to ∂K_{j-1} or by identifying two triangles in ∂K_{j-1} which share an edge



- ► K₁ is a 3-ball and the topology does not change as we go from K_{j-1} to K_j so K_S is a 3-ball
- This proves the Lemma and the Main Result
- All the results generalise to the case of sphere-slices with minor modifications

Generalisation to 4 dimensions

- One can generalise the definition of a causal triangulation to any dimension.
- One can generalise the construction of a midsection to 4-dimensional causal slices.
- There are 4 types of 4-simplicies that arise: (1,4), (2,3), (3,2) and (4,1).
- The midsection is a 3-dimensional cell complex made up of coloured tetrahedra and prisms:



- ► The midsection is in this case a 3-dimensional cell complex
- These cell complexes are not well understood. In particular, we do not have an exponential bound on their number as a function of the number of 3-cells
- However, if we have an exponential bound on the number of midsections that arise then we obtain an exponential bound on the number of causal 4-dimensional triangulations as a function of the number of 4-simplicies

Final Remarks

- Bijections between labelled trees and 2-dimensional triangulations have been an important tool in the study of 2-dimensional triangulations in recent years. The bijection we have described here is the first generalisation to 3 dimensions
- Is there a bijection between triangulations of S³ and some labelled "2-dimensional structures"?
- There is still work to be done on causal triangulations in 3 dimensions: What midsections arise in a causal slice with given the boundary discs?
- Can we count the number causal slices (with given boundary discs) exactly or get asymptotic results about their number?
- Transfer matrix for 3-dimensional causal triangulations?

